

COURT No. 2, ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL,
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI
(Through Virtual Hearing)

3.

OA 1457/2021 WITH MA 1315/2021

In the matter of :

Ex Lnk Arjun Singh
Versus
Union of India & Ors.

.....Applicant

.....Respondents

For Applicant : Mr. Pardeep Singh Nandal, proxy for
Mr. V.S. Kadian, Advocate

For Respondents : Mr. J.S. Rawat, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE SUNITA GUPTA, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT. GEN. P. M. HARIZ, MEMBER (A)

O R D E R
12.08.2021

Benefit of 2nd MACP has been claimed by the applicant by filing the present OA. At the outset, counsel for the applicant has been asked to satisfy regarding the territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal to entertain the OA in as much as in the memo of parties, he has been shown as resident of Palwal. Even the impugned order has not been passed from Delhi. Counsel for the applicant thereupon referred to Para 2 of the OA for showing that applicant's appeal for grant of disability pension is pending with the respondents at Delhi and therefore cause of action has arisen in Delhi.

This submission is not tenable as grant of MACP and disability pension are entirely different and constitute different cause of action.

Faced with this situation, request for adjournment is made as the main counsel for the applicant is not available today.

Re-list on **07.10.2021.**

(JUSTICE SUNITA GUPTA)
MEMBER (J)

(LT. GEN.P. M. HARIZ)
MEMBER (A)

sm/jyoti